

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/727,618	12/05/2003	Francis Amoah	114975	7897
25944 7	590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
	A, VA 22320 '		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· · ·		3739	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/727,61	8	AMOAH, FRANCIS			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Rosiland S	•	3739			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. s, a reply within the state period will apply and with statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,8-10 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,8-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	= : :				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/727,618

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern et al. (US 5755715). In column 5 lines 10+ and figure 6b, Stern et al. disclose a probe adapted to contact body tissue, and having at least one electrode, at least one temperature sensor capable of measuring the temperature of the probe and generating signals representative of the temperature, a controller comprising generation means for generating electromagnetic energy and supplying said energy to the at least one electrode, and control means for receiving the signals from the at least one temperature sensor and controlling the generation means such that the temperature of the probe is ramped up to a temperature, first equilibration the temperature of the probe is held substantially constant at the equilibration temperature for a period of time to allow the temperature of different parts of the probe to equilibrate, and the temperature of the probe is then increased to and maintained at a final steady state temperature.

Stern et al. disclose the steps of initially ramping the temperature of the probe up, holding the temperature constant for a period of time and then increasing the temperature as illustrated in figure 6B. Since Stern et al. disclose the same method

steps as claimed by Applicant required for equilibrating the temperature of the probe, Stern et al. inherently performs the same function.

Stern et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the first equilibration temperature being between 90 and 105 degrees Celsius and the final steady state temperature being between 100 and 115 degrees Celsius. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the first temperature from the range of 90 and 105 degrees Celsius and to select the final steady state temperature from the range of 100 and 115 degrees Celsius, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8-10 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,618

Art Unit: 3739

618 Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739